arXiv:math/0412410v1 [math.PR] 20 Dec 2004

ON THE INVARIANT MEASURE OF A POSITIVE RECURRENT DIFFUSION IN $\mathbb{R}$

MICHELE BALDINI†

Abstract. Given an one-dimensional positive recurrent diffusion governed by the Stratonovich SDE

$$X_t = x + \int_0^t \sigma(X_s) \bullet \mathrm{d}b(s) + \int_0^t m(X_s)\mathrm{d}s,$$

we show that the associated stochastic flow of diffeomorphisms focuses as fast as $\exp\left(-2t \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{m^2}{\sigma^2} \mathrm{d}\Pi\right)$, where $\mathrm{d}\Pi$ is the finite stationary measure. Moreover, if the drift is reversed and the diffeomorphism is inverted, then the path function so produced tends, independently of its starting point, to a single (random) point whose distribution is $\mathrm{d}\Pi$. Applications to stationary solutions of X_t, asymptotic behavior of solutions of SPDEs and random attractors are offered.

1. Introduction

A well-known result [5],[9] states that a diffusion in $\mathbb{R}^d$ possesses an unique invariant density if and only if it is positive recurrent, i.e. if it visits almost surely a neighborhood of every point z in a finite time τ_z and $\mathbf{E}[\tau_z] < \infty$. Heuristically, positive recurrence on $\mathbb{R}^d$ is synonymous of a strong restoring drift that makes the diffusion spend most of the time near the origin, thus producing an invariant measure of finite total mass. In general, the invariant density is identified as the positive solution of $\mathcal{G}^* u = 0$, where $\mathcal{G}^*$ is the formal adjoint of the infinitesimal generator, but this might be a daunting task. In this manuscript we offer an alternative interpretation of such stationary state in the case $d = 1$, with the hope that the theory can be extended to more advanced systems, in which the the computation of the invariant measure is still an open problem (for instance, the stochastic Burgers equation). We announce that partial results are already obtained in the case $d \geq 2$, but this is left for future publication.

Consider a positive recurrent diffusion X_t in $\mathbb{R}$, solution of the SDE

$$X_t = x + \int_0^t \sigma(X_s) \bullet \mathrm{d}b(s) + \int_0^t m(X_s)\mathrm{d}s, \tag{1.1}$$

†Department of Mathematics, Northwestern University, 2033 Sheridan Road, Evanston, IL 60208. Email: mlb@math.northwestern.edu.

This paper was written at New York University, as part of a Ph.D. thesis. The author is extremely grateful to his advisor Prof. Henry McKean for his invaluable guidance and contagious enthusiasm.

and let $X_t^\sharp$ be the diffusion governed by the same σ but opposite drift, i.e.

$$X_t^\sharp = x + \int_0^t \sigma(X_s^\sharp) \bullet \mathrm{d}b(s) - \int_0^t m(X_s^\sharp)\mathrm{d}s. \tag{1.2}$$

Here σ and m are smooth real functions and $\bullet$ denotes the Stratonovich integral. For almost every Brownian path and for all $t \geq 0$, it is folklore that the maps $x \mapsto X_t(x)$ and $x \mapsto X_t^\sharp(x)$ may be regarded as local diffeomorphisms of $\mathbb{R}$ [7],[10]. These two flows, and in particular their asymptotic behavior, will be the main objects of our investigation.

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2 we will engage in a study of the ergodic properties of $X_t^\sharp$ and its associated stochastic flow. In section 3 we will prove that the distance (in the natural scale) between two solutions of X_t driven by the same Brownian motion decreases exponentially fast to zero; i.e. let s be the scale function, then for every $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\mathbf{P}\left[\lim_{t\to\infty} \frac{1}{t} \ln \left| s\big(X_t(x)\big) - s\big(X_t(y)\big)\right| = -2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{m^2}{\sigma^2} \mathrm{d}\Pi\right] = 1, \tag{1.3}$$

where $\mathrm{d}\Pi$ is the invariant measure of X_t. Interestingly, the factor $-2\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{m^2}{\sigma^2}\mathrm{d}\Pi$ provides also an upper bound for the spectral gap of X_t. In section 4 and 5 we will show that there exists a random variable $\mathfrak{X}$ (depending upon the whole history of the Brownian path) whose law can be identified with the invariant measure $\mathrm{d}\Pi$. Such random variable is constructed as the limit of a new path function $X_t^\downarrow$ which converges almost surely as $t \uparrow \infty$. The process $X_t^\downarrow$ admits a representation in terms of a stochastic flow of diffeomorphisms: its inverse map is a transient diffusion whose drift is exactly that of the original diffusion with the sign changed. It turns out that $\mathfrak{X}$ satisfies:

- $\mathbf{P}\left[\liminf_{t\to\infty} |X_t^\sharp(\mathfrak{X})| < \infty\right] = 1.$
- $\mathbf{P}\left[\lim_{t\to\infty} |X_t^\sharp(x)| = \infty \text{ for all } x \neq \mathfrak{X}\right] = 1.$
- $\mathbf{P}\left[\lim_{t\to\infty} X_t^\downarrow(x) = \mathfrak{X}, \text{ for all } x\right] = 1.$

In section 6 we offer an example, while sections 7 through 9 are devoted to applications. We will prove that

- $\mathfrak{X}$ can be used to construct stationary solutions of both X_t and $X_t^\sharp$.
- For every smooth function f, the process $f(X_t^\downarrow)$ with initial condition $f(X_0^\downarrow) = f(x)$ is the unique solution of

$$f(X_t^\downarrow) = f(x) - \sigma(x) \int_0^t \frac{\partial f(X_s^\downarrow)}{\partial x} \mathrm{d}b(s) + \int_0^t \mathcal{G}f(X_s^\downarrow)\, \mathrm{d}s, \tag{1.4}$$

  where $\mathcal{G}f(X_t^\downarrow)$ represents the infinitesimal generator of X_t applied to the whole function $f\big(X_t^\downarrow\big)$.

- $\mathfrak{X}$ can be identified with the random attractor of the corresponding random dynamical system.

Notation: The symbol $\mathfrak{b}$ denotes a generic Brownian path. We will work with a standard Wiener space $(\Omega, \mathbb{B}, \mathbf{P})$, enlarged so that $\mathbb{R} \times \Omega \ni (t, \mathfrak{b}) \mapsto b(t)$ is a two-sided Brownian motion, i.e. two independent standard Brownian motion $b_1(t)_{t\geq 0}$ and $b_2(t)_{t\geq 0}$ connected back to back at $b(0) = 0$ such that $b(t) = b_1(t)$ if $t \geq 0$ and $b(t) = b_2(-t)$ if $t \leq 0$. The shift $\theta_t\mathfrak{b} = \theta_t b(s) := b(s + t) - b(t), t \in \mathbb{R}$ is a measure preserving and ergodic operator on the space of Brownian paths. $\int f \mathrm{d}b$ is an Itô integral and $\int f \bullet \mathrm{d}b$ is a Stratonovich integral, while $\int f \overleftarrow{\mathrm{d}b}$ and $\int f \bullet \overleftarrow{\mathrm{d}b}$ denote the corresponding backward integrals (see [7] for the definitions). $\mathcal{C}^\alpha$ ($\mathcal{C}_b^\alpha$) is the space of (bounded) functions α-times differentiable with continuity. In particular, $\mathcal{C}^\infty$ is called the set of *smooth* functions.

2. Preliminaries

2.1. **The main characters.** Let $\sigma : \mathbb{R} \mapsto (0, \infty)$ and $m : \mathbb{R} \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ be smooth functions such that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{0} \frac{1}{\sigma(x)} \exp\left(-\int_0^x \frac{2m}{\sigma^2}\right) \mathrm{d}x = \int_0^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sigma(x)} \exp\left(-\int_0^x \frac{2m}{\sigma^2}\right) \mathrm{d}x = \infty, \tag{2.1}$$

$$\Lambda \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{\sigma(x)} \exp\left(\int_0^x \frac{2m}{\sigma^2}\right) \mathrm{d}x < \infty. \tag{2.2}$$

For such regular σ and m and for every $x \in \mathbb{R}$, it easy to prove [8] that there exists

- a diffusion X_t defined up to an explosion time $\mathfrak{e}(x, \mathfrak{b})$ that satisfies the Stratonovich SDE

$$X_t = x + \int_0^t \sigma(X_s) \bullet \mathrm{d}b(s) + \int_0^t m(X_s)\mathrm{d}s, \tag{2.3}$$

- a diffusion $X_t^\sharp$ (read *sharp*) defined up to an explosion time $\mathfrak{e}^\sharp(x, \mathfrak{b})$ that satisfies the Stratonovich SDE

$$X_t^\sharp = x + \int_0^t \sigma(X_s^\sharp) \bullet \mathrm{d}b(s) - \int_0^t m(X_s^\sharp)\mathrm{d}s. \tag{2.4}$$

The infinitesimal generator, the transition probability function, the semigroup and the invariant measure associated to X_t are denoted respectively $\mathcal{G}$, $p_t(x, \cdot)$, $\exp(t\mathcal{G})$ and $\mathrm{d}\Pi$, whereas the counterparts for the *sharp* diffusion are indicated with a superscript $\sharp$. Let us introduce the positive function

$$\psi^2(x) \doteq \Lambda\, \sigma(x) \exp\left(-\int_0^x \frac{2m}{\sigma^2}\right) \tag{2.5}$$

and the measures $\mathrm{d}\mu(x) = 2\psi^{-2}(x)\mathrm{d}x$, $\mathrm{d}s(x) = \psi^2(x)\sigma^{-2}(x)\mathrm{d}x$. A straighforward computation produces

$$\mathcal{G} \equiv \frac{\sigma^2}{2}\frac{\mathrm{d}^2}{\mathrm{d}x^2} + \left(m + \frac{1}{2}\sigma\sigma'\right)\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}x} = \frac{1}{2}\psi^2\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}x}\left(\frac{\sigma^2}{\psi^2}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}x}\right) = \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\mu}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}s}, \tag{2.6}$$

$$\mathcal{G}^\sharp \equiv \frac{\sigma^2}{2}\frac{\mathrm{d}^2}{\mathrm{d}x^2} - \left(m - \frac{1}{2}\sigma\sigma'\right)\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}x} = \frac{\sigma^2}{\psi^2}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}x}\left(\frac{\psi^2}{2}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}x}\right) = \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}s}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\mu}, \tag{2.7}$$

showing that the speed measures and the scale measures of X_t and $X_t^\sharp$ are "duals" of each other. Hence, ψ^{-2} is the unique invariant (probability) density of X_t and similarly $\psi^2\sigma^{-2}$ is the unique invariant density (of infinite total mass) of $X_t^\sharp$.

In the next theorem, we study the boundary and the ergodic property of these two processes.

Theorem 2.1. *Let X_t and $X_t^\sharp$ be as above. Then*

(a) *X_t is a positive recurrent diffusion.*
(b) *$X_t^\sharp$ is a transient diffusion, whose boundary points $+\infty$ and $-\infty$ are non-entrance. In particular,*

$$\mathbf{P}_x\left[\lim_{t\to\infty} X_t^\sharp = +\infty\right] = \int_{-\infty}^{x} \mathrm{d}\Pi, \tag{2.8}$$

$$\mathbf{P}_x\left[\lim_{t\to\infty} X_t^\sharp = -\infty\right] = \int_{x}^{\infty} \mathrm{d}\Pi. \tag{2.9}$$

Proof. (a) Conditions (2.1) and (2.2) are necessary and sufficient to guarantee positive recurrence of X_t [9]. (b) It is known [9] that the property of transience is equivalent to the existence, for every $x \in \mathbb{R}$, of a finite Green measure $G^\sharp(x, \mathrm{d}y) = \int_0^\infty p_t^\sharp(x, \mathrm{d}y)\mathrm{d}t$ which can be identified as the limit of $G_n^\sharp(x, \mathrm{d}y)$, where

$$G_n^\sharp(x, \mathrm{d}y) = \begin{cases} 2\dfrac{s^\sharp(x) - s^\sharp(-n)}{s^\sharp(n) - s^\sharp(-n)}\left(s^\sharp(n) - s^\sharp(y)\right)\mathrm{d}\mu^\sharp(y) & \text{when } y \geq x, \\ 2\dfrac{s^\sharp(n) - s^\sharp(x)}{s^\sharp(n) - s^\sharp(-n)}\left(s^\sharp(y) - s^\sharp(-n)\right)\mathrm{d}\mu^\sharp(y) & \text{when } y \leq x. \end{cases} \tag{2.10}$$

Hence, $X_t^\sharp$ is transient if and only if $\lim_{n\to\infty} s^\sharp(n)$ and $\lim_{n\to\infty} s^\sharp(-n)$ exist and are finite. This follows immediately from $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathrm{d}s^\sharp = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathrm{d}\mu = 2$. Let $z \in \mathbb{R}$ and let $\tau_z^\sharp$ be the first hitting time of z. Then, from the formulas for exit probabilities,

$$\mathbf{P}_x\left[\lim_{t\to\infty} X_t^\sharp = +\infty\right] = \mathbf{P}_x\left[\tau_\infty < \tau_{-\infty}\right] = \frac{\int_{-\infty}^{x} \mathrm{d}s^\sharp}{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathrm{d}s^\sharp} = \int_{-\infty}^{x} \mathrm{d}\Pi. \tag{2.11}$$

To complete the proof, it remains to study the boundary. According to Feller's classification [3], $+\infty$ is a non-entrance point if $\int_0^\infty \mathrm{d}s^\sharp(y)\int_y^\infty \mathrm{d}\mu^\sharp(z) = \infty$. Since

$s(+\infty) = \infty$ and integrating by parts

$$\int_0^\infty \mathrm{d}s^\sharp(y) \int_y^\infty \mathrm{d}\mu^\sharp(z) = \int_0^\infty d\mu(y) \int_y^\infty \mathrm{d}s(z) = \infty. \tag{2.12}$$

An analogous result holds for $-\infty$. □

Comment: Because the non-entrance property, $+\infty$ and $-\infty$ are the cimitery points for $X_t^\sharp$. After its death, $X_t^\sharp$ is buried at infinity for good.

2.2. **Stochastic flows of diffeomorphisms.** In virtue of the recurrence property, both $+\infty$ and $-\infty$ are *non-exit* boundary points for X_t. Feller [3] showed that this implies *conservativeness*, i.e. for each x, X_t has infinite life-time with probability 1. Moreover, in one dimension it is a simple computation to prove that conservativeness implies *strictly conservativeness*, i.e. X_t has infinite life-time for all x with probability 1. According to Kunita [7], this is sufficient to guarantee that, after a null set in Ω has been weeded out, the family of maps $\{X_t(x) : t \geq 0\}$ defines a stochastic flow of local diffeomorphisms, i.e. for any Brownian path $\mathfrak{b}$

(a) $\mathbb{R} \ni x \mapsto X_t(x, \mathfrak{b})$ is a **into** diffeomorphism for all $t \geq 0$ and the inverse map is continuous in t and smooth in x.
(b) $X_{t+\tau}(x, \mathfrak{b}) = X_t(\cdot, \theta_\tau \mathfrak{b}) \circ X_\tau(x, \mathfrak{b})$ for all non-negative t, τ.

Define $\mathfrak{R}_t(\mathfrak{b}) = \inf\{x : X_t^\sharp(x, \mathfrak{b}) = +\infty\}$, $\mathfrak{L}_t(\mathfrak{b}) = \sup\{x : X_t^\sharp(x, \mathfrak{b}) = -\infty\}$, and let $D_t(\mathfrak{b}) \stackrel{def}{=} \{x : t < \mathfrak{e}^\sharp(x, \mathfrak{b})\} = (\mathfrak{L}_t(\mathfrak{b}), \mathfrak{R}_t(\mathfrak{b}))$. Clearly, for any $t \leq \tau$ we have $D_t(\mathfrak{b}) \subseteq D_\tau(\mathfrak{b})$. In virtue of the transience property, both $+\infty$ and $-\infty$ are *non-entrance* boundary points for $X_t^\sharp$. According to [7], this is sufficient to guarantee that, after a null set in Ω has been weeded out, the family of maps $\{X_t^\sharp(x) : t \geq 0\}$ defines a stochastic flow of local diffeomorphisms, i.e. for any Brownian path $\mathfrak{b}$

(a) $D_t(\mathfrak{b}) \ni x \mapsto X_t^\sharp(x, \mathfrak{b})$ is a **onto** diffeomorphism for all $t \geq 0$ and the inverse map is continuous in t and smooth in x.
(b) $X_{t+\tau}^\sharp(x, \mathfrak{b}) = X_t^\sharp(\cdot, \theta_\tau \mathfrak{b}) \circ X_\tau^\sharp(x, \mathfrak{b})$ holds on $D_{t+\tau}(\mathfrak{b})$ for all non-negative t, τ.

In what follows, we will use the symbols X_t and $X_t^\sharp$ to indicate the stochastic processes and $X_t(x, \mathfrak{b})$ and $X_t^\sharp(x, \mathfrak{b})$ to indicate the associated flows of local diffeomorphisms. Often, we will omit $\mathfrak{b}$. We will work in the "normalized" space of paths $\mathcal{W} \subseteq \Omega$, such that both $X_t(x, \mathfrak{b})$ and $X_t^\sharp(x, \mathfrak{b})$ are well defined for all $\mathfrak{b} \in \mathcal{W}$. Clearly, $\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{W}) = 1$.

2.3. **Stagnation and invariant points.** From the definition of transience we have $\mathbf{P}_x[\lim_{t\to\infty} |X_t^\sharp| = \infty] = 1$ for every $x \in \mathbb{R}$. However, for each Brownian path, there might be some x such that $X_t^\sharp(x, \mathfrak{b})$ does not run out to infinity.

Definition 2.1. *For every Brownian path define*

$$\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{b}) = \{x : \liminf_{t\to\infty} |X_t^\sharp(x, \mathfrak{b})| < \infty\}. \tag{2.13}$$

$\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{b})$ *is called the* **stagnant** *set. A random variable* $Y(\mathfrak{b})$ *is called a* **stagnation point** *if* $Y(\mathfrak{b}) \in \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{b})$ *almost surely.*

Theorem 2.2. *For almost every Brownian path, the stagnant set* $\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{b})$ *contains at most one point.*

Proof. For every x define $K(x) = \{\mathfrak{b} : \liminf_{t\to\infty} |X_t^\sharp(x,\mathfrak{b})| < \infty\}$ and let $\mathbf{1}_{K(x)}(\mathfrak{b})$ be its indicator function. Then $\mathbf{E}[\mathbf{1}_{K(x)}(\mathfrak{b})] = 0$ for all x. Integrating on x and using Fubini's theorem, we obtain $\mathbf{1}_{K(x)}(\mathfrak{b}) = 0$ for almost every $\mathfrak{b}$ and a.e. in x, concluding that that the set $\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{b})$ has zero Lebesgue measure almost surely. By virtue of monotonicity of the map $X_t^\sharp(x)$, if there were two distinct points $x_1 < x_2$ such that $|X_t^\sharp(x_1)| \not\to \infty$ and $|X_t^\sharp(x_2)| \not\to \infty$ as $t \uparrow \infty$, then all the trajectories originating from the interval $[x_1, x_2]$ would be "sandwiched" between $X_t^\sharp(x_1)$ and $X_t^\sharp(x_2)$. Hence the whole interval $[x_1, x_2]$ would belong to $\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{b})$, contradicting the fact that its measure is zero. □

Now introduce the random variables $R(\mathfrak{b}) = \inf\{x : \lim_{t\to\infty} X_t^\sharp(x,\mathfrak{b}) = +\infty\}$, $L(\mathfrak{b}) = \sup\{x : \lim_{t\to\infty} X_t^\sharp(x,\mathfrak{b}) = -\infty\}$, and observe $L(\mathfrak{b}) = R(\mathfrak{b})$ almost surely. In fact, by definition $L(\mathfrak{b}) \le R(\mathfrak{b})$. If the inequality were strict, $|X_t^\sharp(x)| \not\to \infty$ as $t \uparrow \infty$ for any $L(\mathfrak{b}) < x < R(\mathfrak{b})$, contradicting the transience of $X_t^\sharp$. Define $\mathfrak{X}(\mathfrak{b}) = L(\mathfrak{b})(= R(\mathfrak{b}))$. At this point the reader might wonder whether $\mathfrak{X}$ is a true stagnation point: the question will be answered positively in section 5. To summarize what we have so far

Theorem 2.3. *There exists a unique random variable* $\mathfrak{X} \in \mathbb{B}_0^\infty$ *such that, almost surely,*

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} X_t^\sharp(x,\mathfrak{b}) = +\infty \quad \textit{for all } x > \mathfrak{X},$$

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} X_t^\sharp(x,\mathfrak{b}) = -\infty \quad \textit{for all } x < \mathfrak{X}. \tag{2.14}$$

Definition 2.2. *A random variable* $Y(\mathfrak{b})$ *is called an* **invariant point** *if*

$$\mathbf{P}\left[X_t^\sharp\big(\cdot,\mathfrak{b}\big) \circ Y(\mathfrak{b}) = Y\big(\theta_t\mathfrak{b}\big) \textit{ for all } t \ge 0\right] = 1. \tag{2.15}$$

Lemma 2.1. *If* $Y(\mathfrak{b})$ *is an invariant point, then is also a stagnation point.*

Proof. Let $Y(\mathfrak{b})$ be an invariant point, then a moment of reflection shows that the process $X_t^\sharp(Y) \equiv X_t^\sharp(Y(\mathfrak{b}),\mathfrak{b})$ is stationary end ergodic. Let Λ be the set of Brownian paths such that $\liminf_{t\to\infty} |X_t^\sharp(Y)| = \infty$. Let K be any compact subset of $\mathbb{R}$ and let $\mathbf{1}_K(\cdot)$ be its indicator function. Then for each Brownian path in Λ, we have

$$\lim_{T\to\infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \mathbf{1}_K\big(X_t^\sharp(Y)\big)\mathrm{d}t = 0. \tag{2.16}$$

On the other hand, combining Birkhoff's Theorem with ergodicity, we can always adjust the set K such that almost surely

$$\lim_{T\to\infty}\frac{1}{T}\int_0^T \mathbf{1}_K\big(X_t^\sharp(Y)\big)\mathrm{d}t = \mathbf{E}\Big[\mathbf{1}_K(Y(\mathfrak{b}))\Big] = \int_K \mathbf{P}(Y(\mathfrak{b})\in \mathrm{d}x) > 0. \tag{2.17}$$

Therefore $\mathbf{P}(\Lambda) = 0$. □

3. Asymptotic focusing of the flow $X_t(x)$.

In [6], Has'minskii proved that any solution of a one-dimensional stochastic differential equation, describing a positive recurrent diffusion, is stable with probability one in the metric given by the natural scales. More precisely, he showed that for any $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\mathbf{P}\Big[\lim_{t\to\infty}\Big|s\big(X_t(x)\big) - s\big(X_t(y)\big)\Big| = 0\Big] = 1, \tag{3.1}$$

where $s(\cdot)$ is the scale function. Below, we offer a different proof of this stability and we improve the result showing that the pathwise rate of convergence is exponentially fast. Let us introduce the smooth map $\frac{\partial X_t(x)}{\partial x}$ from $\mathbb{R}$ into $\mathbb{R}$. The associated process is governed by the SDE

$$\mathrm{d}\frac{\partial X_t}{\partial x} = \sigma'(X_t)\frac{\partial X_t}{\partial x}\mathrm{d}b(t) + q'(X_t)\frac{\partial X_t}{\partial x}\mathrm{d}t, \quad \frac{\partial X_0}{\partial x} = 1, \tag{3.2}$$

where q is the modified drift $m + \frac{1}{2}\sigma\sigma'$.

Lemma 3.1. *Let X_t be a positive recurrent diffusion as in* (2.3) *and let $s(x) = \int_0^x \frac{\psi^2}{\sigma^2}$ be its scale function. If $\gamma \stackrel{def}{=} 2\int_{\mathbb{R}}\frac{m^2}{\sigma^2}\mathrm{d}\Pi < \infty$, then for every x*

$$\mathbf{P}_x\left[\lim_{t\to\infty}\frac{1}{t}\ln\frac{\partial s\big(X_t\big)}{\partial x} = -\gamma\right] = 1. \tag{3.3}$$

Proof. An application of Itô's lemma gives

$$\mathrm{d}\frac{\partial s\big(X_t\big)}{\partial x} = -\frac{2m}{\sigma}\big(X_t\big)\frac{\partial s\big(X_t\big)}{\partial x}\mathrm{d}b(t), \tag{3.4}$$

leading to

$$\frac{\partial s\big(X_t\big)}{\partial x} = s'(x)\exp\left(-2\int_0^t \frac{m}{\sigma}\left(X_\tau\right)\mathrm{d}b(\tau) - 2\int_0^t \frac{m^2}{\sigma^2}\left(X_\tau\right)\mathrm{d}\tau\right). \tag{3.5}$$

For every x, the RHS of (3.5) is a positive local martingale and by virtue of Fatou's lemma is also a supermartingale. The exponent is in the form of $w(S_t) - \frac{1}{2}S_t$ where $w(S_t)$ is a new Brownian motion running with the random clock $S_t = \int_0^t \frac{4m^2}{\sigma^2}\big(X_\tau\big)\mathrm{d}\tau$. Recurrence of X_t guarantees that the clock grows to infinity and an application of the law of the iterated logarithm to the Brownian integral produces for almost all Brownian paths and for any $\epsilon > 0$,

$$-(1+\epsilon)\sqrt{2S_t\ln\ln S_t} \le w(S_t) \le (1+\epsilon)\sqrt{2S_t\ln\ln S_t} \quad \text{for } t\uparrow\infty. \tag{3.6}$$

Hence,

(3.7)

$$s'(x)\mathrm{e}^{-(1+\epsilon)\sqrt{2S_t\ln\ln S_t}-\frac{1}{2}S_t} \leq \frac{\partial s\big(X_t(x)\big)}{\partial x} \leq s'(x)\mathrm{e}^{(1+\epsilon)\sqrt{2S_t\ln\ln S_t}-\frac{1}{2}S_t} \quad \text{for } t\uparrow\infty.$$

The proof is completed using the ergodic theorem. □

Theorem 3.1. *Let X_t be a positive recurrent diffusion as in* (2.3) *and let $s(x) = \int_0^x \frac{\psi^2}{\sigma^2}$ be its scale function. For any $a < b \in \mathbb{R}$ write $A_t \overset{def}{=} s\big(X_t(a)\big)$ and $B_t \overset{def}{=} s\big(X_t(b)\big)$. If $\gamma < \infty$, then*

$$\mathbf{P}\Big[\lim_{t\to\infty}\Big|B_t - A_t\Big| = 0\Big] = 1. \tag{3.8}$$

Proof. Lemma 3.1 can be used to show that $B_t - A_t$ is a positive supermartingale and therefore there exists a positive random variable ζ_∞ such that $\lim_{t\to\infty}(B_t - A_t) = \zeta_\infty$ almost surely. Define $\zeta_t = B_t - A_t$, $f(x) = \arctan(x)$ and using the ergodic theorem observe

$$0 < \frac{1}{T}\int_0^T \Big[f(B_t) - f(A_t)\Big]dt \xrightarrow{T\to\infty} 0 \quad \text{a.s.} \tag{3.9}$$

An application of the mean-value theorem and bounded convergence, shows that

$$\left|\frac{1}{T}\int_0^T \Big[f(A_t+\zeta_t) - f(A_t+\zeta_\infty)\Big]dt\right| \tag{3.10}$$

vanishes almost surely as $T\to\infty$. Hence, from the trivial identity

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{T}\int_0^T \Big[f(B_t) - f(A_t)\Big]dt& \\ = \frac{1}{T}\int_0^T \Big[f(A_t+\zeta_t) - f(A_t+\zeta_\infty)\Big]dt &+ \frac{1}{T}\int_0^T \Big[f(A_t+\zeta_\infty) - f(A_t)\Big]dt,\end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

we have

$$\mathbf{P}\left[\lim_{t\to\infty}\frac{1}{T}\int_0^T \Big[f(A_t+\zeta_\infty) - f(A_t)\Big]dt = 0\right] = 1. \tag{3.12}$$

It easy to show that the family $F_T(x)$ is equicontinuos and $\lim_{T\to\infty} F_T(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}}\Big[f(z+x)-f(z)\Big]\mathrm{d}\Pi(z)$, uniformly on each finite interval. Then, $\lim_{T\to\infty} F_T(\zeta_\infty) = \int_{\mathbb{R}}\Big[f(z+\zeta_\infty)-f(z)\Big]\mathrm{d}\Pi(z)$ and a comparison with (3.12) produces $\int_{\mathbb{R}}\Big[f(z+\zeta_\infty)-f(z)\Big]\mathrm{d}\Pi(z) = 0$ almost surely. Because $f(z+\zeta_\infty) - f(z)$ is non-negative and continuous, then $f(z+\zeta_\infty) - f(z) = 0$ for all z, indicating $\zeta_\infty = 0$. This nice trick is adapted from [4]. □

Theorem 3.2. *Under the same assumptions of theorem 3.1,*

$$\mathbf{P}\left[\lim_{t\to\infty}\frac{1}{t}\ln\left(B_t - A_t\right) = -\gamma\right] = 1. \tag{3.13}$$

Proof. **Step 1: The clock goes up.** Define

$$F(x,y)=\frac{\frac{\psi^2}{\sigma}(y)-\frac{\psi^2}{\sigma}(x)}{\int_x^y\frac{\psi^2}{\sigma^2}} \tag{3.14}$$

and using Itô's lemma observe that

$$\frac{B_t-A_t}{s(a)-s(b)}=\exp\left(\int_0^t F\Big(X_\tau(a),X_\tau(b)\Big)\mathrm{d}b(\tau)-\frac{1}{2}\int_0^t F^2\Big(X_\tau(a),X_\tau(b)\Big)\mathrm{d}\tau\right). \tag{3.15}$$

In virtue of theorem 3.1, $\lim_{t\to\infty}\int_0^t F^2\Big(X_\tau(a),X_\tau(b)\Big)\mathrm{d}\tau=\infty$ almost surely and hence $B_t-A_t\approx\exp(-\frac{1}{2}M_t)$ for $t\uparrow\infty$, where $M_t\overset{def}{=}\int_0^t F^2\Big(X_\tau(a),X_\tau(b)\Big)\mathrm{d}\tau$.

Step 2: Bound from above. Using the proof of lemma 3.1 as a model, for almost all Brownian paths, and for any $\epsilon>0$,

$$\mathrm{e}^{-(1+\epsilon)\sqrt{2M_t\ln\ln M_t}-\frac{1}{2}M_t}\le\frac{B_t-A_t}{s(b)-s(a)}\le\mathrm{e}^{(1+\epsilon)\sqrt{2M_t\ln\ln M_t}-\frac{1}{2}M_t}\quad\text{for } t\uparrow\infty. \tag{3.16}$$

Define $\rho(x)=(\frac{\psi^2}{\sigma}\circ s^{-1})'(x)$. An application of the mean-value theorem gives

$$\frac{\psi^2}{\sigma}\big(X_\tau(b)\big)-\frac{\psi^2}{\sigma}\big(X_\tau(a)\big)=\rho\big(C_\tau\big)\Big(B_\tau-A_\tau\Big) \tag{3.17}$$

for some $C_\tau\in\big(A_\tau,B_\tau\big)$, leading to $M_t=\int_0^t\rho^2\big(C_\tau\big)\mathrm{d}\tau$. Pick a subsequence of non-negative functions F_n with bounded first derivative such that $|F_n'|\le L_n$ for a certain constant L_n and $F_n\uparrow\rho^2$. Using the mean-value theorem,

$$\int_0^t F_n(C_\tau)\mathrm{d}\tau=\int_0^t F_n(A_\tau)\mathrm{d}\tau+\int_0^t F_n'(D_\tau)\left(C_\tau-A_\tau\right)\mathrm{d}\tau \tag{3.18}$$

for some $D_\tau\in(A_\tau,C_\tau)$. Because $M_t\ge\int_0^t F_n(C_\tau)\mathrm{d}\tau$, then

$$\begin{aligned}\exp\left(-\frac{M_t}{2}\right)&\le\exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\int_0^t F_n(A_\tau)\mathrm{d}\tau+\frac{1}{2}\left|\int_0^t F_n'(D_\tau)\left(C_\tau-A_\tau\right)\mathrm{d}\tau\right|\right)\\&\le\exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}t\int_{\mathbb{R}}(F_n\circ s)\mathrm{d}\Pi-t\times o(1)+\frac{1}{2}L_nt\int_0^1\left(C_{t\tau}-A_{t\tau}\right)\mathrm{d}\tau\right).\end{aligned} \tag{3.19}$$

Therefore, $B_t-A_t\le(s(b)-s(a))\mathrm{e}^{-\frac{1}{2}M_t(1-o(1))}$, producing

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{1}{t}\ln\left(B_t-A_t\right)\\&\quad\le\left[-\frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}}(F_n\circ s)\mathrm{d}\Pi-o(1)+\frac{1}{2}L_n\int_0^1\left(C_{t\tau}-A_{t\tau}\right)\mathrm{d}\tau\right]\Big(1-o(1)\Big)\\&\qquad\qquad+\frac{1}{t}\ln\big[s\big(b\big)-s\big(a\big)\big].\end{aligned} \tag{3.20}$$

Now, since $C_{t\tau} - A_{t\tau} \leq B_{t\tau} - A_{t\tau}$,

$$\limsup_{t\to\infty} \frac{1}{t} \ln (B_t - A_t) \leq -\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (F_n \circ s) \mathrm{d}\Pi. \tag{3.21}$$

In particular,

$$\limsup_{t\to\infty} \frac{1}{t} \ln (B_t - A_t) \leq -\sup_{n\geq 0} \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (F_n \circ s) \mathrm{d}\Pi = -\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\rho^2 \circ s\right) \mathrm{d}\Pi = -\gamma. \tag{3.22}$$

Step 3: Bound from below. For every $\epsilon > 0$, Fatou's lemma gives

$$\liminf_{t\to\infty} \mathrm{e}^{(\gamma+\epsilon)t} (B_t - A_t) \geq \int_a^b \liminf_{t\to\infty} \mathrm{e}^{(\gamma+\epsilon)t} \, \frac{\partial s\big(X_t(x)\big)}{\partial x} dx = \infty, \tag{3.23}$$

which permits to claim $\mathrm{e}^{-(\gamma+\epsilon)t} \leq (B_t - A_t)$ for $t \uparrow \infty$. Therefore $-\gamma - \epsilon \leq \liminf_{t\to\infty} \frac{1}{t} \ln (B_t - A_t)$.

Step 4: Chain of inequality. Combining the bounds in step 2 and 3, we obtain for every $\epsilon > 0$,

$$-\gamma - \epsilon \leq \liminf_{t\to\infty} \frac{1}{t} \ln (B_t - A_t) \leq \limsup_{t\to\infty} \frac{1}{t} \ln (B_t - A_t) \leq -\gamma, \tag{3.24}$$

and the proof is completed letting $\epsilon \downarrow 0$. □

Below we show that the factor $\gamma = 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{m^2}{\sigma^2} \mathrm{d}\Pi$ has an interesting interpretation in terms of the spectral gap of the generator $\mathcal{G}$. Let us recall that the spectral gap $\mathfrak{G}$ of a selfadjoint operator with the eigenvalue corresponding to the ground state equal to zero, is the modulus of the first (non-trivial) eigenvalue.

Theorem 3.3. *Let X_t be a positive recurrent diffusion as in* (2.3). *Define $V(x) = \int_0^x \frac{1}{\sigma(y)} \mathrm{d}y$ and $\gamma = 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{m^2}{\sigma^2} \mathrm{d}\Pi$. If the following three conditions are satisfied,*

(a) $\int_{\mathbb{R}} V^2 \mathrm{d}\Pi < \infty$,
(b) $\int_{\mathbb{R}} \sigma^2 \mathrm{d}\Pi < \infty$,
(c) $\gamma < \infty$,

then $\mathfrak{G} \leq \gamma$.

Proof. By definition, the spectral gap can be characterized as

$$\mathfrak{G} = \inf_{f\in Q} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{(f')^2}{s'} \right\} \tag{3.25}$$

where $Q = \big\{ f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathrm{d}\Pi) \text{ and smooth}, \ \int_{\mathbb{R}} f \mathrm{d}\Pi = 0, \ \int_{\mathbb{R}} f^2 \mathrm{d}\Pi = 1 \big\}$. Since γ can be rewritten as $\gamma = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{s'} \left(\frac{\sigma'}{\sigma} - 2\frac{\psi'}{\psi} \right)^2$, it suffices to prove

$$\inf_{f\in Q} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{(f')^2}{s'} \right\} \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{s'} \left(\frac{\sigma'}{\sigma} - 2\frac{\psi'}{\psi} \right)^2. \tag{3.26}$$

Define $F(x) = \alpha(V(x) + \beta)$ where $\beta = -\int_{\mathbb{R}} V \mathrm{d}\Pi$ and $\frac{1}{\alpha^2} = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \big(V + \beta\big)^2 \mathrm{d}\Pi$. The definition has been made to guarantee $F \in Q$; also $\int_{\mathbb{R}} (V + \beta)' \frac{\sigma}{\psi^2} = 1$ by choice

of V. In virtue of the assumed conditions, an application of the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality shows that the integral

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}}(V+\beta)\left(\frac{\sigma}{\psi^2}\right)' = \int_{\mathbb{R}}\frac{V+\beta}{\psi}\left(\frac{2m}{\sigma\psi}\right) \tag{3.27}$$

exists and is finite. Therefore, it is permissible to integrate by parts in $\int_{\mathbb{R}}(V+\beta)'\frac{\sigma}{\psi^2}$ obtaining

$$\begin{aligned} 1 = \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}}(V+\beta)'\frac{\sigma}{\psi^2}\right]^2 &= \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}}(V+\beta)\frac{\sigma}{\psi^2}\left(\ln\frac{\sigma}{\psi^2}\right)'\right]^2 \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}}(V+\beta)^2\mathrm{d}\Pi \times \int_{\mathbb{R}}\frac{1}{s'}\left(\frac{\sigma'}{\sigma}-2\frac{\psi'}{\psi}\right)^2 = \frac{2\gamma}{\alpha^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Finally,

$$\mathfrak{G} \leq \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}}\frac{(F')^2}{s'} = \frac{\alpha^2}{2}\times\left[\int_{\mathbb{R}}(V+\beta)'\frac{\sigma}{\psi^2}\right]^2 \leq \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}}\frac{1}{s'}\left(\frac{\sigma'}{\sigma}-2\frac{\psi'}{\psi}\right)^2 = \gamma. \tag{3.28}$$

□

Remark: The reader might wonder if there exists a choice of σ and m such that $\mathfrak{G} = \gamma$. If σ is constant, it is a simple exercise to prove that the equality holds if and only if the drift is affine.

4. The process $X_t^{\downarrow}$: construction and asymptotic behavior

For any $T > 0$, consider the Brownian motion $b_T^{\downarrow}(t)_{0\leq t\leq T}$ defined as $b_T^{\downarrow}(t) = b(T-t) - b(T)$. Let $\{X_t^T : 0 \leq t \leq T\}$ be the solution of the SDE

$$\mathrm{d}X_t^T = \sigma(X_t^T)\bullet\mathrm{d}b_T^{\downarrow}(t) + m(X_t^T)\mathrm{d}t, \quad X_0^T = x. \tag{4.1}$$

and define $X_T^{\downarrow} = X_T^T$. The family $\{X_t^{\downarrow} : t \geq 0\}$ describes a new (non-Markovian) process starting at x (read *down*). Note that for each $t \geq 0$ separately, the process $X_t^{\downarrow}$ is equal in law to X_t.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $X_t^{\sharp}(x,\mathfrak{b})$ be the flow associated to*

$$X_t^{\sharp} = x + \int_0^t \sigma(X_s^{\sharp})\bullet\mathrm{d}b(s) - \int_0^t m(X_s^{\sharp})\mathrm{d}s.$$

Then for every $\mathfrak{b}$, the inverse map satisfies $X_t^{\sharp-1}(x,\mathfrak{b}) = X_t^{\downarrow}(x,\mathfrak{b})$ for all $t \geq 0$.

Proof. For every $\mathfrak{b}$ and all $0 \leq t \leq T$, the composition of maps rule gives $X_T^{\sharp-1}\big(x,\mathfrak{b}\big) = X_t^{\sharp-1}\big(\cdot,\mathfrak{b}\big)\circ X_{T-t}^{\sharp-1}\big(x,\theta_t\mathfrak{b}\big)$, which leads to $X_t^{\sharp}\big(\cdot,\mathfrak{b}\big)\circ X_T^{\sharp-1}\big(x,\mathfrak{b}\big) = X_{T-t}^{\sharp-1}\big(x,\theta_t\mathfrak{b}\big)$. Denoting $X_T^{\sharp-1}\big(x,\mathfrak{b}\big) = y$, a straightforward but tedious computation shows

$$\int_0^T \sigma\left(X_t^{\sharp}\big(y,\mathfrak{b}\big)\right)\bullet\mathrm{d}b(t) = -\int_0^T \sigma\left(X_t^{\sharp-1}\big(x,\theta_{T-t}\mathfrak{b}\big)\right)\bullet\mathrm{d}b_T^{\downarrow}(t), \tag{4.2}$$

and

$$-\int_0^T m\left(X_t^\sharp(y,\mathfrak{b})\right)\mathrm{d}t = -\int_0^T m\left(X_t^{\sharp-1}(x,\theta_{T-t}\mathfrak{b})\right)\mathrm{d}t. \tag{4.3}$$

Combining the above identities, we obtain

$$X_T^{\sharp-1}(x,\mathfrak{b}) = x+\int_0^T \sigma\left(X_t^{\sharp-1}(x,\theta_{T-t}\mathfrak{b})\right)\bullet\mathrm{d}b_T^\downarrow(t)+\int_0^T m\left(X_t^{\sharp-1}(x,\theta_{T-t}\mathfrak{b})\right)\mathrm{d}t \tag{4.4}$$

and a comparison with the flow $X_t^T(x)$, produces $X_T^{\sharp-1}(x,\mathfrak{b}) = X_T^T(x,\mathfrak{b}) \equiv X_T^\downarrow(x,\mathfrak{b})$. □

Now we finally present the main theorem. Recall from section 2 that

$$\mathfrak{X} = \inf\{x : \lim_{t\to\infty} X_t^\sharp(x) = \infty\} = \sup\{x : \lim_{t\to\infty} X_t^\sharp(x) = -\infty\}. \tag{4.5}$$

Theorem 4.1. *The flow $X_t^\downarrow(x)$ converges to $\mathfrak{X}$ almost surely, i.e.*

$$\mathbf{P}\left[\lim_{t\to\infty} X_t^\downarrow(x) = \mathfrak{X},\ \textit{for all } x\right] = 1. \tag{4.6}$$

Proof. From standard results, either (a) $\mathbf{P}\big(\mathfrak{e}^\sharp(x,\mathfrak{b}) = \infty\big) = 1$ for all x or (b) $\mathbf{P}\big(\mathfrak{e}^\sharp(x,\mathfrak{b}) = \infty\big) < 1$ for all x [8].
(a) Because $+\infty$ and $-\infty$ are *non-exit* boundary points for $X_t^\sharp$, for every Brownian path $X_t^\sharp(x,\mathfrak{b})$ and the inverse map $X_t^\downarrow(x,\mathfrak{b})$ are flows of global diffeomorphisms of $\mathbb{R}$, for all $t \geq 0$. Now, for any $\epsilon > 0$, define $\mathfrak{l}_\epsilon = \mathfrak{X} - \epsilon$ and $\mathfrak{r}_\epsilon = \mathfrak{X} + \epsilon$. In virtue of theorem 2.3, for any $x \in \mathbb{R}$ we have $X_t^\sharp(\mathfrak{l}_\epsilon) \leq x \leq X_t^\sharp(\mathfrak{r}_\epsilon)$ for $t \uparrow \infty$. Therefore $\mathfrak{l}_\epsilon = X_t^\downarrow(\cdot)\circ X_t^\sharp(\mathfrak{l}_\epsilon) \leq X_t^\downarrow(x) \leq X_t^\downarrow(\cdot)\circ X_t^\sharp(\mathfrak{r}_\epsilon) = \mathfrak{r}_\epsilon$ holds for sufficiently large time, and thus

$$\mathfrak{l}_\epsilon \leq \liminf_{t\to\infty} X_t^\downarrow(x) \leq \limsup_{t\to\infty} X_t^\downarrow(x) \leq \mathfrak{r}_\epsilon.$$

The proof is completed letting $\epsilon \downarrow 0$.
(b) For every Brownian path, $X_t^\sharp(x,\mathfrak{b}) : (\mathfrak{L}_t, \mathfrak{R}_t) \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ is a onto diffeomorphism for all $t \geq 0$ with inverse map $X_t^\downarrow(x,\mathfrak{b})$ (see section 2 for the definition of $\mathfrak{L}_t$ and $\mathfrak{R}_t$). Clearly $\mathfrak{L}_t \leq \mathfrak{X} \leq \mathfrak{R}_t$ and since $\mathfrak{e}^\sharp(\mathfrak{L}_t,\mathfrak{b}) = \mathfrak{e}^\sharp(\mathfrak{R}_t,\mathfrak{b}) = t$, then $\mathfrak{L}_t$ is strictly increasing and $\mathfrak{R}_t$ is strictly decreasing as $t \uparrow \infty$. Therefore both $\mathfrak{L}_t$ and $\mathfrak{R}_t$ converge to $\mathfrak{X}$ as $t \uparrow \infty$. Because $\mathfrak{L}_t \leq X_t^\downarrow(x) \leq \mathfrak{R}_t$ holds almost surely for all x, then the proof is completed taking $t \uparrow \infty$. □

Corollary 4.1. *The probability distribution of $\mathfrak{X}$ is the invariant measure* $\mathrm{d}\Pi$.

Proof. Let $f \in \mathcal{C}_b(\mathbb{R})$. For every $t \geq 0$ separately, $X_t^\downarrow$ and X_t are equally distributed. Hence, for any $x \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\mathbf{E}_x\left[f\big(X_t^\downarrow\big)\right] = \mathbf{E}_x\Big[f\big(X_t\big)\Big] = \left(\mathrm{e}^{t\mathcal{G}}f\right)(x). \tag{4.7}$$

The LHS of (4.7) converges to $\mathbf{E}\left[f(\mathfrak{X})\right]$ as $t \uparrow \infty$, meanwhile the ergodic theorem for a Feller semigroup guarantees $\left(\mathrm{e}^{t\mathcal{G}}f\right)(x) \xrightarrow{t\to\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f\mathrm{d}\Pi$. Therefore $\mathbf{E}\Big[f(\mathfrak{X})\Big] = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f\mathrm{d}\Pi$ for any arbitrary (continuous, bounded) function f. □

5. $\mathfrak{X}$ is the stagnation point

In section 2, we showed that for almost every Brownian paths, the stagnation set $\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{b}) = \{x : \liminf_{t\to\infty} |X_t^\sharp(x,\mathfrak{b})| < \infty\}$ is either empty or contains one point. In a second step, we introduced the (random) point $\mathfrak{X}$ and we established that if $\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{b})$ is not empty, then it must contain such a point. Below, we prove that $\mathfrak{X}$ is an invariant point, which in virtue of lemma 2.1 guarantees the stagnation property.

Theorem 5.1. *$\mathfrak{X}$ is an invariant point.*

Proof. Because $X_\tau^\downarrow(x,\mathfrak{b}) = X_t^\downarrow(\cdot,\mathfrak{b}) \circ X_{\tau-t}^\downarrow(x,\theta_t\mathfrak{b})$, it follows $X_t^\sharp(\cdot,\mathfrak{b}) \circ X_\tau^\downarrow(x,\mathfrak{b}) = X_{\tau-t}^\downarrow(x,\theta_t\mathfrak{b})$ for every Brownian path and all $0 \le t \le \tau$. To complete the proof, let $\tau \uparrow \infty$ and use continuity of the diffeomorphism to show

$$X_t^\sharp(\cdot,\mathfrak{b}) \circ \mathfrak{X}(\mathfrak{b}) = \mathfrak{X}(\theta_t\mathfrak{b}) \text{ for all } t \ge 0, \text{ a.s.} \tag{5.1}$$

□

6. Example: the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process

The O-U process is positive recurrent and satisfies the equation

$$\mathrm{d}X_t = \mathrm{d}b(t) - \beta X_t \mathrm{d}t \tag{6.1}$$

with $\beta > 0$. Its solution, with initial condition x, reads

$$X_t(x) = x\mathrm{e}^{-\beta t} + \int_0^t \mathrm{e}^{\beta(s-t)}\mathrm{d}b(s). \tag{6.2}$$

Following the construction in section 4,

$$X_t^\downarrow(x) = x\mathrm{e}^{-\beta t} + \int_0^t \mathrm{e}^{\beta(s-t)}\mathrm{d}b_t^\downarrow(s) = x\mathrm{e}^{-\beta t} - \int_0^t \mathrm{e}^{-\beta\tau}\mathrm{d}b(\tau). \tag{6.3}$$

Therefore $\mathfrak{X} = \lim_{t\to\infty} X_t^\downarrow(x) = -\int_0^\infty \mathrm{e}^{-\beta\tau}\mathrm{d}b(\tau)$. Compare its law with the well-known invariant probability density $\sqrt{(\beta/\pi)}\mathrm{e}^{-\beta x^2}$. Now observe that

$$X_t^\sharp(\cdot,\mathfrak{b}) \circ \mathfrak{X}(\mathfrak{b}) = -\mathrm{e}^{\beta t}\int_t^\infty \mathrm{e}^{-\beta s}\mathrm{d}b(s) \tag{6.4}$$

is Gaussian, continuous and it has the same correlation as the stationary process $-\mathrm{e}^{\beta t}b\left(\mathrm{e}^{-2\beta t}/2\beta\right)$, *ergo* is stationary as the doctor ordered.

7. Stationary solution of X_t and $X_t^\sharp$

In section 5, we used $\mathfrak{X}$ to prove the existence and uniqueness of a (non-trivial) stationary solution of $X_t^\sharp$. If the direction of time is reversed, we can also use $\mathfrak{X}$ to construct a (non-trivial) stationary solution of X_t. Consider the SDE (2.4) running backward in the negative semi-axis. Since the adaptedness of the solution

must run from right to left, the extension can be made with the introduction of the Stratonovich backward stochastic integral

$$-\int_{-t}^{0}\sigma(X^\sharp_s)\bullet\overleftarrow{\mathrm{d}b}(s)=-\int_{-t}^{0}\sigma(X^\sharp_s)\overleftarrow{\mathrm{d}b}(s)+\frac{1}{2}\int_{-t}^{0}\sigma(X^\sharp_s)\sigma'(X^\sharp_s)\mathrm{d}s \tag{7.1}$$

where $\overleftarrow{\mathrm{d}b}$ stands for backward Itô integral [7]. Hence, the process $X^\sharp_{-t}:t\geq 0$ is solution of the SDE

$$x=X^\sharp_{-t}-\int_{-t}^{0}\sigma(X^\sharp_s)\bullet\overleftarrow{\mathrm{d}b}(s)-\int_{-t}^{0}m(X^\sharp_s)\mathrm{d}s, \tag{7.2}$$

which, through a simple manipulation, can be rewritten as the forward SDE

$$X^\sharp_{-t}=x+\int_{0}^{t}\sigma(X^\sharp_{-s})\bullet\mathrm{d}b(-s)+\int_{0}^{t}m(X^\sharp_{-s})\mathrm{d}s, \tag{7.3}$$

in which the adaptedness of the solution runs from left to right.

Denoting the "rotated" Brownian path with the symbol $\mathfrak{b}^+$, i.e. $\mathbb{R}\times\Omega\ni(t,\mathfrak{b}^+)\mapsto b(-t)$, then the (striking) moral of the story is the following: $X^\sharp_t:(t,\mathfrak{b})\in\mathbb{R}^-\times\Omega$ *running backward is a version of* $X_t:(t,\mathfrak{b}^+)\in\mathbb{R}^+\times\Omega$ *running forward.*

Now, a moment of reflection shows that the whole construction described in sections 4 and 5 can be repeated *verbatim* in the region $t\leq 0$ (picturesquely, imagine to flip the time-line and switch the Brownian motion $b_1(t)$ with $b_2(t)$). Therefore the identity (5.1) can be extended to all $t\in\mathbb{R}$ almost surely, with the understanding that $(t,\mathfrak{b})\mapsto b_1(t)$ if $t\geq 0$ and $(t,\mathfrak{b})\mapsto b_2(-t)$ if $t\leq 0$. This permits to draw the following theorem.

Theorem 7.1. *Let X_t and $X^\sharp_t$ be two diffusions as in* (2.3) *and* (2.4)*. Then*

(a) $X^\sharp_{-t}(\cdot,\mathfrak{b}^+)\circ\mathfrak{X}(\mathfrak{b}^+)\equiv\mathfrak{X}(\theta_{-t}\mathfrak{b}^+)$ *is the unique stationary solution of X_t for $t\geq 0$,*

(b) $X^\sharp_t(\cdot,\mathfrak{b})\circ\mathfrak{X}(\mathfrak{b})\equiv\mathfrak{X}(\theta_t\mathfrak{b})$ *is the unique stationary solution of $X^\sharp_t$ for $t\geq 0$.*

8. Applications to stochastic partial differential equations (SPDEs)

In the next lemma we introduce a second-order linear SPDE that describes the evolution of the *down* process. We focus mainly on the derivation of the equation and we refer to [11] for general questions of existence and uniqueness.

Lemma 8.1. *For any $f\in\mathcal{C}^2(\mathbb{R})$, the process $f\big(X^\downarrow_t\big)$ with initial condition $f(x)$ is the unique solution of*

$$f\big(X^\downarrow_t\big)=f(x)-\sigma(x)\int_0^t\frac{\partial f\big(X^\downarrow_s\big)}{\partial x}\,\mathrm{d}b(s)+\int_0^t\mathcal{G}f\big(X^\downarrow_s\big)\,\mathrm{d}s \tag{8.1}$$

Proof. Let $q = m + \frac{1}{2}\sigma\sigma'$ be the modified drift. For all $t \leq T$, use the SDEs for the first and second derivative of the map $X_t^T(x)$ to check that $\sigma(x)\frac{\partial X_t^T}{\partial x}$ and $\mathcal{G}X_t^T$ satisfy respectively

$$\sigma(x)\frac{\partial X_t^T}{\partial x} = \sigma(x) + \int_0^t \sigma'\big(X_s^T\big)\sigma(x)\frac{\partial X_s^T}{\partial x}\mathrm{d}b_T^{\downarrow}(s) + \int_0^t q'\big(X_s^T\big)\sigma(x)\frac{\partial X_s^T}{\partial x}\mathrm{d}s \tag{8.2}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{G}X_t^T = m(x) + \frac{1}{2}\sigma(x)\sigma'(x) \\ + \int_0^t \sigma'\big(X_s^T\big)\mathcal{G}X_s^T\mathrm{d}b_T^{\downarrow}(s) + \frac{\sigma^2(x)}{2}\int_0^t \sigma''\big(X_s^T\big)\left(\frac{\partial X_s^T}{\partial x}\right)^2\mathrm{d}b_T^{\downarrow}(s) \\ + \int_0^t q'\big(X_s^T\big)\mathcal{G}X_s^T\mathrm{d}s + \frac{\sigma^2(x)}{2}\int_0^t q''\big(X_s^T\big)\left(\frac{\partial X_s^T}{\partial x}\right)^2\mathrm{d}s.\end{aligned} \tag{8.3}$$

Now evaluate $\Delta_h(t,T) \doteq X_{t+h}^{T+h} - X_t^T$ when $0 < h \ll 1$ and $t \leq T$, as in

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta_h(t,T) = \int_0^h \sigma\big(X_s^{T+h}\big)\bullet\mathrm{d}b_{T+h}^{\downarrow}(s) + \int_h^{t+h}\sigma\big(X_s^{T+h}\big)\mathrm{d}b_{T+h}^{\downarrow}(s) \\ + \int_0^h m\big(X_s^{T+h}\big)\mathrm{d}s + \int_h^{t+h} q\big(X_s^{T+h}\big)\mathrm{d}s - \int_0^t \sigma\big(X_s^T\big)\mathrm{d}b_T^{\downarrow}(s) - \int_0^t q\big(X_s^T\big)\mathrm{d}s.\end{aligned} \tag{8.4}$$

A tedious manipulation on the stochastic integral shows that the first piece in the RHS of (8.4) is

$$\sigma(x)b_{T+h}^{\downarrow}(h) + \frac{1}{2}\sigma(x)\sigma'(x)b_{T+h}^{\downarrow 2}(h) + o(h) + o(b_{T+h}^{\downarrow 2}(h)). \tag{8.5}$$

The third term reduces simply to $m(x)h + o(h)$. A Taylor expansion on the second and fifth terms produces

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^t \Big[\sigma\big(X_{s+h}^{T+h}\big) - \sigma\big(X_s^T\big)\Big]\mathrm{d}b_T^{\downarrow}(s) = \int_0^t \sigma'\big(X_s^T\big)\Delta_h(s,T)\mathrm{d}b_T^{\downarrow}(s) + \\ \frac{1}{2}\int_0^t \sigma''\big(X_s^T\big)\Delta_h^2(s,T)\mathrm{d}b_T^{\downarrow}(s) + \frac{1}{6}\int_0^t \sigma'''(z_2)\Delta_h^3(s,T)\mathrm{d}b_T^{\downarrow}(s),\end{aligned} \tag{8.6}$$

and similarly on the fourth and sixth terms

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^t \Big[q\big(X_{s+h}^{T+h}\big) - q\big(X_s^T\big)\Big]\mathrm{d}s = \int_0^t q'\big(X_s^T\big)\Delta_h(s,T)\mathrm{d}s + \\ \frac{1}{2}\int_0^t q''\big(X_s^T\big)\Delta_h^2(s,T)\mathrm{d}s + \frac{1}{6}\int_0^t q'''(z_3)\Delta_h^3(s,T)\mathrm{d}s,\end{aligned} \tag{8.7}$$

where z_2, z_3 are points belonging to the segment $\Big[X_s^T, X_{s+h}^{T+h}\Big]$.

Let $h \downarrow 0$ and using the rules of stochastic calculus, we have

$$\begin{aligned}(8.8)\quad \Delta_h(t,T) &= -\sigma(x)\mathrm{d}b(T) + \left[m(x) + \frac{1}{2}\sigma(x)\sigma'(x)\right]\mathrm{d}T \\ &+ \int_0^t \sigma'\big(X_s^T\big)\Delta_h(s,T)\mathrm{d}b_T^{\downarrow}(s) + \frac{1}{2}\int_0^t \sigma''\big(X_s^T\big)\Delta_h^2(s,T)\mathrm{d}b_T^{\downarrow}(s) \\ &+ \int_0^t q'\big(X_s^T\big)\Delta_h(s,T)\mathrm{d}s + \frac{1}{2}\int_0^t q''\big(X_s^T\big)\Delta_h^2(s,T)\mathrm{d}s \\ &+ \frac{1}{6}\int_0^t \sigma'''(z_2)\Delta_h^3(s,T)\mathrm{d}b_T^{\downarrow}(s) + \frac{1}{6}\int_0^t q'''(z_3)\Delta_h^3(s,T)\mathrm{d}s.\end{aligned}$$

A comparison between terms of the same order on the LHS and the RHS of (8.8) shows that $\Delta_h(t,T)$ must have both parts of order $\mathrm{d}b(T)$ and $\mathrm{d}T$; any other part vanishes effectively. Therefore, we can write $\Delta_h(t,T)$ in the form

$$(8.9)\qquad \Delta_h(t,T) = \Omega(t,T)\mathrm{d}b(T) + M(t,T)\mathrm{d}T, \quad 0 \le t \le T.$$

Substitute (8.9) in (8.8) and compare the evolution of $\Omega(t,T)$ and $M(t,T)$ with the unique strong solutions of (8.2) and (8.3). Therefore for all $0 \le t \le T$, $\Omega(t,T) = -\sigma(x)\frac{\mathrm{d}X_t^T}{\mathrm{d}x}$ and $M(t,T) = \mathcal{G}X_t^T$ almost surely. Put $t = T$ and observe

$$(8.10)\qquad \Delta_{\mathrm{d}T}(T,T) = \mathrm{d}X_T^{\downarrow} = -\sigma(x)\frac{\partial X_T^{\downarrow}}{\partial x}\mathrm{d}b(T) + \mathcal{G}X_T^{\downarrow}\mathrm{d}T.$$

A simple application of Itô's lemma concludes the proof. □

Theorem 8.1. *Let $\sigma : \mathbb{R} \mapsto (0,\infty)$ and $\psi : \mathbb{R} \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ be smooth functions such that $\int_{\mathbb{R}} \psi^{-2} = 1$ and $\int_{-\infty}^0 \frac{\psi^2}{\sigma^2} = \int_0^{\infty} \frac{\psi^2}{\sigma^2} = \infty$. Let $\mathcal{G}$ be the elliptic differential operator $\mathcal{G} = \frac{1}{2}\psi^2\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}x}\left(\frac{\sigma^2}{\psi^2}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}x}\right)$. For any $f \in \mathcal{C}^2(\mathbb{R})$, then the unique solution of*

$$(8.11)\qquad \mathfrak{u}(t,x) = f(x) - \sigma(x)\int_0^t \frac{\partial \mathfrak{u}}{\partial x}(s,x)\,\mathrm{d}b(s) + \int_0^t \mathcal{G}\mathfrak{u}(s,x)\,\mathrm{d}s$$

satisfies $\mathbf{P}\Big[\lim_{t\to\infty}\mathfrak{u}(t,x)$ exists, for all $x\Big] = 1$. The limit is a finite random variable independent of x, whose probability density is ψ^{-2}.

Proof. The integrability conditions on the coefficients σ and ψ guarantee that the elliptic operator $\mathcal{G}$ is associated to a positive recurrent diffusion. Then the proof follows immediately from lemma 8.1 and theorem 4.1. □

To the best of our knowledge, the above theorem presents new results on long-time behavior of random fields that are solutions of SPDEs in unbounded domains. Chueshov and Vuillermot [2] have investigated a similar problem. Their parabolic equation is studied in a bounded domain and has been subjected to a homogeneous white noise whose coefficient depends on the solution itself but not on its gradient.

9. Applications to random attractors

A well-known results [1] states that a Stratonovich stochastic differential equation as (2.3) generates a two-sided random dynamical system (RDS) with respect to the canonical shift θ_t on the Wiener space. In connection with the study of attractors and invariant sets, special attention is reserved to the *pull-back* convergence of the RDS as opposed to the usual forward convergence. This is to observe the values at time $t = 0$ of solutions that originate from the same fixed point, progressively earlier in time. Below, we will define the pull-back convergence at the level of SDE and in a second step, we will prove that it is equivalent to the convergence of the process $X_t^{\downarrow}$, provided that $\mathfrak{b}$ and $\mathfrak{b}^+$ are swapped.

Pick a $T \geq 0$ and consider the diffusion X_t running forward in the region $-T \leq t \leq 0$. Since the Brownian motion travels from right to left, the process will be adapted to the filtration $\mathbb{B}^t_{-T} = \sigma(b(u) - b(-T) : -T \leq u \leq t)$ and it is solution of the backward SDE (backward with respect of the negative semi-axis)

$$X_t = x + \int_{-T}^{t} \sigma(X_s) \bullet \mathrm{d}b(s) + \int_{-T}^{t} m(X_s)\mathrm{d}s. \tag{9.1}$$

Put $t = 0$ and define $\mathfrak{z}_T = X_0$. Now repeat the same operation for all $T \geq 0$, maintaining the same initial condition $X_{-T} = x$. We say that family $\mathfrak{z}_t : t \geq 0$ starting at $\mathfrak{z}_0 = x$ is the *pull-back* process associated to X_t.

Definition 9.1. *If there exists a random variable $Y(\mathfrak{b}) \in \mathbb{B}^0_{-\infty}$ such that*

$$\mathbf{P}[\lim_{t\to\infty} \mathfrak{z}_t = Y \text{ for all } x] = 1 \tag{9.2}$$

we say that the diffusion X_t converges to Y in a pull-back sense.

It is a simple exercise to prove

Lemma 9.1. *For any $f \in \mathcal{C}^2(\mathbb{R})$, the process $f(\mathfrak{z}_t)$ starting at $f(x)$ is the unique solution of*

$$f(\mathfrak{z}_t) = f(x) - \sigma(x) \int_0^t \frac{\partial f(\mathfrak{z}_s)}{\partial x}\, \mathrm{d}b(-s) + \int_0^t \mathcal{G}f(\mathfrak{z}_s)\, \mathrm{d}s$$

Proof. The structure of the proof is identical to that of lemma 8.1, so we leave the details to the reader. □

We can hence apply theorem 8.1 and claim that $\mathbf{P}[\lim_{t\to\infty} \mathfrak{z}_t \text{ exists for all } x] = 1$. The limit is a random variable measurable in $\mathbb{B}^0_{-\infty}$ independent of x whose distribution is the invariant measure $\mathrm{d}\Pi$.

Corollary 9.1. *Let X_t a positive recurrent diffusion as in* (2.3)*. Then its random attractor is one single point.*

Proof. Following [1], the random attractor is defined as the closed random set $\mathcal{A}(\mathfrak{b}) \in \mathbb{B}^0_{-\infty}$ such that

(a) $X_t(\cdot, \mathfrak{b}) \circ \mathcal{A}(\mathfrak{b}) = \mathcal{A}(\theta_t \mathfrak{b})$ for all $t \geq 0$ almost surely;
(b) For all bounded set $D \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\mathbf{P}\left[\lim_{t\to\infty} \operatorname{dist}\big(X_t\big(D, \theta_{-t}\mathfrak{b}\big), \mathcal{A}(\mathfrak{b})\big) = 0\right] = 1.$$

(a) follows from the composition of maps rule. (b) is a straightforward consequence of lemma 9.1 and theorem 8.1. □

References

1. L. Arnold, *Random Dynamical Systems*, Springer-Verlag, 1998.
2. I. Chueshov and P. Vuillermot, *Long-time behavior of solutions to a class of stochastic parabolic equations with homogeneous white noise: Itô's case*, Stochastic Anal. Appl. **18** (2000), no. 4, 581–615.
3. W. Feller, *The parabolic differential equation and the associated semi-groups of transformation*, Ann. of Math. **55** (1952), 468–519.
4. I. Gihman and A. Skorohod, *Stochastic Differential Equations*, Springer-Verlag, 1972.
5. R. Has'minskii, *Ergodic properties of recurrent diffusion processes and stabilization of the solution to the Cauchy problem for parabolic equations*, Theor. Probability Appl. **5** (1960), 196–214.
6. ———, *On the stabilization of solutions of one-dimensional stochastic equations*, Soviet Math. Dokl. **12** (1971), no. 5, 1492–1496.
7. H. Kunita, *Stochastic Flows and Stochastic Differential Equations*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, no. 24, Cambridge University Press, 1990.
8. H.P. McKean, *Stochastic Integrals*, Academic Press, New York, 1969.
9. R.G. Pinsky, *Positive Harmonic Functions and Diffusion*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, no. 45, Cambridge University Press, 1995.
10. P.E. Protter, *Stochastic Integration and Differential Equations*, Springer, 2004.
11. B.L. Rozovskii, *Stochastic Evolution Systems*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Norwell, 1990.